Exhibit 2

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11673

Claimant: HAMILTON DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1	Comments:
16. Documents relating to the	e purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.
☑ No docu	iments were provided.
□ Docume	ents provided are insufficient because:
<del>-</del>	when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property.	iments were provided.
☑ Docume	ents provided are insufficient because:
they fail to indicate eith document, when the cl property.	er expressly or from the nature or context of the airmant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the
22. Documents concerning e	efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.
✓ No docu	uments were provided.
Docume Docume	ents provided are insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning t	esting or sampling for asbestos in the property.
☐ No docu	uments were provided.
☑ Docume	ents provided are insufficient because:
building air sample res	ults were not încluded.

10/17/2005 BMCID Rev3a